



STATE OF NEVADA
 COMMISSION ON MINERAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF MINERALS
 400 W. King Street, Suite 106
 Carson City, Nevada 89703
 (775) 684-7040 • Fax (775) 684-7052
<http://minerals.nv.gov/>



STEVE SISOLAK
 Governor

Las Vegas Office: 375 E. Warm Springs Rd. #205, Las Vegas, NV 89119
 Phone: (702) 486-4343; Fax: (702) 486-4345

MICHAEL VISHER
 Administrator

Thursday, September 17, 2020

1:00 P.M.

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

1:00 PM by Richard DeLong

Richard DeLong: Reminded everyone if they're going to be speaking to please remove their mask so the recording can capture their articulation.

Commission	Staff	Public
Richard DeLong	Mike Visher	Nora Stefu, Fourth Ward School
Art Henderson	Sherrie Nuckolls	Kemba Anderson, BLM via Teleconference
Josh Nordquist	Sean Derby	Jennifer Atlas, Griffin Company via Teleconference
Bob Felder (absent)	Rob Ghiglieri	
Randy Griffin via Teleconference	Valerie Kneefel	
Nigel Bain	Lowell Price	
Mary Korpi	Courtney Brailo via Teleconference	
	Garrett Wake via Teleconference	
	Rebecca Ely via Teleconference	
	Debbie Selig via Teleconference	
	Anthony Walsh, DAG via Teleconference	

COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC

Nora Stefu, Executive Director, with the Fourth Ward School Museum spoke about the museum's mission statement, goals and continued partnership with the Division of Minerals in developing activities as well as exhibits; she thanked the Commission for their consideration and support.

Rich DeLong: Thanked Nora for hosting the meeting.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Led by Richard DeLong

I. AGENDA

A. Approval of the Agenda

Motion to approve the amended agenda made by: Josh Nordquist

Seconded by: Mary Korpi

Unanimously approved

II. MINUTES

A. Approval of the July 9, 2020 quarterly meeting minutes

Mary Korpi: Under Section III. D. the Impact of Monthly Oil Assessment Fees, the highlighted portion of motion proceed with rulemaking, it says reducing administrative fee from .15 cents to 0.5 cents, it should be .05 cents per barrel.

Motion to approve the agenda as edited made by: Nigel Bain

Seconded by: Josh Nordquist

Unanimously approved

III. NEW BUSINESS

A. **2019 Nevada Mineral, Oil, and Geothermal Production**

Mike Visher reviewed a handout of the 2019 Major Mines of Nevada publication that was published this week; he thanked Lucia Patterson who took the lead this year on this publication. Mike then provided a PowerPoint presentation that included production by operators, new operations for 2019, gold, silver, and copper productions, industrial minerals produced including barite, limestone, other industrial minerals produced in 2019, oil production, and geothermal production.

Rich DeLong: I have one comment about the graph, it's in black and white and really hard to see, doesn't communicate much.

Mike Visher: There's only one color page and that's in the center of the map, it's a significant cost increase for that color part, we can look at alternate ways to display the information.

Rich DeLong: You might consider not doing the graph or do it some other way because it doesn't really present well.

Mike Visher: Ok.

B. **Nevada Legislature Special Sessions I and II**

Mike Visher gave the Commission a summary overview of the three Joint Resolutions that were passed at the recent second special session of the Legislature:

These happened really quickly without much discussion or input because of how they managed the Legislative session due to the pandemic. There was not much opportunity for the public to interject especially when so much of it occurred after hours, in the evenings or early morning hours. Three joint resolutions, to change the Nevada Constitution, that passed along more or less party lines. I included the language, as well as, who voted so you could see the breakdown. In summary, AJR1 and SJR1 replaced the 5% cap rate on net proceeds and with a rate of 7.75% on gross proceeds and removes all County appropriations. For the counties this is bad news, for the industry it's even worse news. The fund distributions between the two differ a little bit in that AJR1 allows for a 25% of the revenue be reserved for educational purposes. Under SJR1 50% would be reserved for payments to certain Nevada residents, similar to the Alaska Oil Revenue distributed to residents, but the details are not provided. These are pretty broad resolutions and in order for these to move forward, they have to be voted on again at the next session. If one or more of these proceeds on and moves forward, they are changes to the State's Constitution so they have to be approved by the vote of the public which would occur in 2022 and would take effect in 2023. Both of those resolutions also eliminate the constitution language of how patented mines and unpatented mining claims may be taxed, thereby potentially opening the door for taxes on either or both patented mines or unpatented mining claims. This is really problematic for the industry. From the agency's perspective, this is going to be really difficult because this is the bulk of our revenue. That's where we get our fees that allow us to operate. Something like this may be akin to what occurred when the maintenance payments were initiated, resulting in a 40% reduction of the number of mining claims in the state. That will have a detrimental effect on the state but also our agency.

Assembly Joint Resolution Two (AJR2) maintains the current net proceeds calculations but increases the cap from 5% to 12%. Listening in on the discussions with the Nevada Mining Association at their policy meetings, they've had some discussions with legislators and they're looking at potentially a tiered tax structure. Such that, operations that produce less than 10 or 20 million dollars gross would not be effected by this change but those that produce more, the larger operations, would be taxed at a higher rate, not necessarily all the way up to the 12%. Again this is the constitution, and the Legislature could increase that rate and does not require that 2/3rd majority to change that, only to bring it down.

The way the language reads right now is a simple majority could increase the cap but 2/3rd majority are required to lower it. I think there are certainly some legislators that just don't have any interest in hearing what the industry has to say and don't really understand the impacts. It's going to be very important for all of us that are impacted by the industry to get the message out to the legislators and make sure they're informed about potential impacts. Lucia took it upon herself one weekend, when this was occurring, to sift through the Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation database to look at the wages generated by the mining industry by county and display that in a graphical sense so that you can see which counties are impacted the most. The employment numbers, I think that's going to be the key. Dollars mean one thing but people and employment mean another regardless of which side you're on. I think the employment side of things is going to be important to educate people about. We have been working on that but, there are some nuances in their database making it challenging to get the correct information generated out of it. What I'm anticipating is putting more information like this on our website so that stakeholders could take that information and modify it to generate the message that they as individual stakeholders want to portray. Again, as we've done in the past, we are kind of an information store and I want to make that information available through our various programs. I think it's important we get started getting that message out. The only reason I left this as a possible action item is whether the Commission would like us to pursue a certain path? Whether the Commission wants to take a different tact or submit something in writing to the Legislature? It can be something we continue to discuss but I think it's something that's very important; it has a big impact to our agency, the industry, and the state, especially the rural communities that count on mining. They'll be doing their part but we need to continue to do our part. We have a vested interest obviously with this being our single largest revenue source, mining claim fees. Any increase on the tax will have a negative connotation to the industry and how we compete with other jurisdictions around the world. I don't see this increasing our Fraser survey ranking, this will only make it go down. It's going to be a challenge especially in this year where everything is being done remotely, presentations, and conferences are all virtual. PDAC still hasn't committed if it will be a hybrid or all virtual, it's not going to be in all in person like it's been. That makes it that much more challenging to get to get to people to communicate with them. We're going to have to think of additional ways to communicate to get the message out, a little out of the box. Akin to all the things we've been doing since March, how people communicate now how to get the message out, the use of digital platforms and continue to provide information that our stakeholders can use. I'm interested in hearing the Commissioners thoughts.

Rich DeLong: Question about the process to amend the constitution, my understanding is same resolution needs to be voted on twice by the legislature in consecutive sessions then by the public and all three languages have to be the same, is that correct?

Mike Visher: I thought the same thing at first but it doesn't make any sense as why there would be discussions about adding language to the resolution. Maybe Tony would be able to answer that question in regards to the process.

Anthony Walsh: Actually a little hesitant to get us off on a footing that an actual action is taken on this item today because we would basically want to have that fleshed out as to what the Commission would like to take and have that agendized. So leaning forward recommending that this be restricted to discussion just for this meeting, and then we can flesh out an agendized item for the next meeting and what specific action the Commission would like to take for a vote.

Rich DeLong: Depending on what we can "discuss now" having the Division work on and have at the next meeting, not making a decision now could be problematic given the timing of our next meeting relative to when the Legislature is meeting and the need to do some education up there. Our next meeting is tentatively planned for the middle of January and the session starts early February. Tony, if we can however have a discussion today and allow the Division to pull together information that may still work. What I'm thinking of is maybe at the next meeting we approve the Commission to prepare a letter on behalf of the Commission because it's our job to promote the industry to the legislators. How we deliver that message and letter we can then discuss. I would also think that that letter could and should and would include information that the Division could compile to help demonstrate or illustrate the potential effects on the industry and thus on the state. I would love to hear from other Commissioners.

Nigel Bain: The Commission was created to encourage and promote mineral development in the State of Nevada. We should put out some kind of statement; I think the people on the Commission have some understanding for their jobs. What's the best way to proceed with a letter or a meeting with some of the legislators, I'm not sure. I would like to have a discussion about that.

Mary Korpi: We're more of a standalone organization, Commission vs. Nevada Mining Association vs. AEMA or whatever I'm sure they're all having intense discussions on this and putting together a strategy on how to handle it. I think we are

perceived to be more neutral than they would be since their members are typically members of the mining companies so we've got to keep separation, but at the same time we don't want to be counter to what their efforts are. Plus I think we can get good information from them so I think staying in sync with them is important not necessarily always partner to partner. We need to have our own position. As far as meeting with individuals we need to have information and data because that's about the only ways it's going to be driven to the people that don't understand the business. If there's any way to relate it to another business that they're familiar with and what this could mean to those businesses it might be a good comparison. Heavy gaming counties we impact them like that and what it would be, maybe that's one way to inform a legislator. We need to have a statement, absolutely. Whether it's a letter or something for the record. With a letter you can send it to all of the legislators. Again I think we need to make sure with Tony involved and with Mike and your association with the Governor's Office I think we need to keep those communications channels open too so they know what we're doing and not blindsided, I think that would be important.

Nigel Bain: There is a petition going around in Reno for funding education and basically that the Gaming industry should have to pay their fair share. It's another thing that's going on. It's just one step behind these bills.

Josh Nordquist: I would agree it's very good to have both, a statement from the Commission because our job is to inform the Governor and Legislature on the impact of legislative changes. As well as, providing data we have, whether it's an economic impact report or some other work that could put the factual information out there for both legislators and other organizations to use. It behooves us to put the facts out there, so the right conclusions could be made, making our recommendation or our informative stance for the Governor and Legislature.

Rich DeLong: Reading NRS 513.063.3, the Commission shall advise and make recommendations to the Governor, the Mining Oversight and Accountability Commission and the Legislature concerning the policy of this State relating to minerals. So us informing the Legislature about what we believe is in the best interest of the State related to minerals we've been charged with that by the Legislature. I certainly view this as absolutely in our duties. Tony, does taking an approach of working with the Division between now and the next meeting on putting together information and text that could be used by the Commission by the next meeting to formulate a letter or position paper do you view that as proper?

Anthony Walsh: Yes, if the Commission actually decides to move forward with a letter, that should be a specifically agendized item for a potential special meeting.

Rich DeLong: If the rest of the Commission are inclined, my suggestion is we have staff, the Division, work on that documentation text and then I'll work with Mike on deciding if we have a special meeting before the end of the year, and have that agendized discussion decision or if it can wait until our next regular schedule meeting.

Anthony Walsh: I believe that would be the most protective pathway for the Commission on this approach.

Art Henderson: I think the key to this should be based on the results of the November 3rd general election. Then we'll know which direction we really have to go. I think we should be prepared, if we do need a special meeting a week or so after the election we need to do that.

Rich DeLong: Mike, that puts us on track in early November with pulling things together.

Art Henderson: So we don't need an action item?

Rich DeLong: No, if there is an action item it would be at our next meeting whether it's our regular one or a special one and then it would specifically be agendized.

Anthony Walsh: I believe also that any draft the letter language we want to present is added as a supporting document for that meeting so it can be reviewed in the open.

Rich DeLong: Yes.

Art Henderson: I'd like to ask Mike a question about the letter from Kirkwood Oil & Gas, they said they sent this by email but I didn't see it, did that email come to you or to the Division?

Mike Visher: It came to the Division.

Art Henderson: We should reply to Mr. Degenfelder, you and I can discuss it next week.

Mike Visher: I'll draft up a letter.

Art Henderson: He sent a lot of letters to us during the fracking.

Rich DeLong: I'm sorry what are you referring to?

Art Henderson: The letter from Kirkwood Oil & Gas.

Mike Visher: It's the very last document in New Business III. B.

Art Henderson: Sherrie probably sent it to me but I never saw it until the binder came in the mail. I think we should reply to them because they've been very active during our hydraulic fracking regulation development and we always did reply to them when they wrote us. So I don't think it's so late now to reply to them in September. Together Mike and I can reply because we don't want them to think that they're not heard. They did copy the Governor on the letter and some County Commissioners so we should reply and copy them.

Rich DeLong: And I'm assuming the letter would come from the Administrator.

Art Henderson: Yes, I think so; he wrote it to me but we can probably co-author it together, if that's acceptable to you?

Rich DeLong: Yes.

Nigel Bain: That letter has the same illustrative arguments that the gold companies have depending on which bill ultimately succeeds to being passed; you could put gold mines out of business.

C. Agency Education and Outreach in the New Normal

Rebecca Ely provided a PowerPoint presentation of how the agency's outreach efforts are adapting during the pandemic which included modified digital Lessons in Support of Distance Education; Connecting Hands Offering Lifelong Learning Adventure (CHOLLA); Classroom Cast, Vegas PBS; Clark County School District (CCSD) Learns – Summer Connection; Virtual Teachers Workshop; and moving forward.

Mary Korpi: Great work; this is such a challenge for kids, parents, teachers, and all kinds of educators. I saw in the paper where in Elko County their enrollment dropped by up to 17%, because there's so many parents who are now homeschooling. I don't know enough about how homeschools get their curriculum but is there a network of homeschoolers that we could outreach to?

Rebecca Ely: I've actually thought about the same thing, I don't know if there's a network of homeschoolers. I am aware of some social media pages for families to go an alternative route for the traditional schooling for the year. That might be a good page to join as a parent myself and share our resources on the social media.

Mary Korpi: Just a thought, thank you.

Rebecca Ely: That was a great question, thank you.

Rich DeLong: Just a follow-up on the homeschooling issue, from my experience I know there are a number of homeschool networks that provide curriculum to parents that are conducting homeschooling so they are out there.

Nigel Bain: Clark County School District is still out and I think it's going to force more parents into that homeschooling network where the kids are going to fall behind. Can we supply outreach to some of those networks?

Art Henderson: Homeschooling and home learning is a really important subject, at least to me from an engineer's standpoint; if you miss the basics you can go to 12th grade and not know a thing. I would like to friendly challenge everyone here to try and make a difference. Every day at 2:00 pm I tutor second graders by Zoom to help them know their multiplication tables and every day I take that time for one hour. I promise you, these second graders go to third grade and 4th grade and if they don't know, no one is going to take the time to teach them. If you have the time, we're a small group that maybe could help ten people, those ten people really need it, I teach with Wooster students. Please try to reach out, it's not an easy task for the teachers either and I know they mean well and try to corral the kids but it's difficult.

Mike Visher: Garrett and Becky have started using a scheduling software to allow them to invite teachers to sign up when they want them to virtually present to their classes. Within a day they'd gotten almost 20 requests, in one day. They're already booked for the first month. Part of the innovation is trying to figure out how to do this, or how do we get them to say we want you to do something, but it can't be by email. This is an online scheduling platform that allows you to do that, you pick the day and can restrict the dates. They're only making it available Tuesday through Thursday so they have Monday and Friday to get caught up on other things but the demand is there. My hat's off to the staff in figuring out how to actually do this when we can't see the kids in person. These virtual presentations in the manner they're presented are not going to be much different from in-classroom presentations. Yes, you're not going to know what they're seeing on the other end but I guarantee just like any classroom when a visitor shows up that visitor gets more attention than the teacher because they're new. This is another way we can still do that, and thank you to Garrett and Becky for finding another way to get the outreach information out there.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

A. Presentation of agency submitted budget for Fiscal Years 2022/2023

Valerie Kneefel went over a PowerPoint presentation of the budget process which included timelines for FY 22/23 budget; biennial budget cycle; major budget elements with revenues, expenditures and reserves; FY base year information; general budget rules. Valerie included the budget checklist with the certification letter signed by the Commission Chairman and discussed the budget process outside the agency's control.

Mike Visser: Valerie spent a lot of time juggling because we hadn't closed the budget yet. We start the process but we still have three months to receive money and fulfill obligations. When it gets down towards the end of July we are trying to close but there's still stuff coming in that we know of. This could be monies from the counties for the prior fiscal year or the unanticipated expenditures from the programs that all of sudden pop up out of nowhere that you can't plan for. She's constantly trying to keep track of each of the categories for the money coming in, and the money going out, so there aren't any surprises. For the budget submission, we have to document and justify every single thing and now it gets looked by two different agencies. They get to review it to ask where in your statute does it say you should be doing this, and how did you come up with this number? Some of the things we submitted as action items to the Commission so we had the justification for the expenditure that we were planning would occur in 2022 and 2023 like the AML enhancements. The documentation and justification of why that's in our budget as an M-150 came from the CMR minutes. We'll still get questions, without a doubt, and they'll be questions from individuals and Legislators that LCB will push forward, that maybe don't understand our program. Were fortunate again that we are fee funded, and that our total account isn't all that much. It's not going to rescue anybody. We go through the same process as all the other agencies. Mike thanked Valerie for all the work and extra hours she put in and knows she's thankful it only happens every other year.

Rich DeLong: Mike, can you give us an update with EITS, where that's going regards to our connectivity?

Mike Visser: Regarding the network transition and costs going forward, we submitted an RFP for the contracting for the desktop and internal network support that EITS cannot provide us in this fiscal year. They may be able to next biennium, but not this one. We had to put out an RFP for a contract and we are finalizing the signatures on that contract with CTS which is a statewide vendor and EITS is familiar with them. We have the quotes for the equipment, so once we have the contract signed and submitted to the clerk of the board we'll put in our request for the equipment purchases and it will take up to 120 days to receive and install those. Some of the installation will have to be done by these contractors and some will be done by EITS. EITS has a number of branches within it; they're a large organization with silos of very specific tasks. We have to communicate with each of them, there isn't one person managing everything we want. It could be around the end of the year before we transition off of the Division of Industrial Relations' network. They are still providing support as needed. I contact their Deputy Administrator and then he will contact their IT staff, we can't contact them directly. That happened today when Lucia could not access her VPN and it took all day until she was able to get the needed support from Industrial Relations' IT staff to assist her. There are things we don't have any control over that we need to get help on but the plan is that sometime early next year there will be a standalone network that we'll be maintaining or paying for either through EITS or contractors. It definitely increases the cost and it's unfortunate but that's the way it is. Maybe it's a good thing that were in charge of our own destiny and we'll find efficiencies going forward to add on to the way we communicate and exchange data that we wouldn't be able to if we were piggybacked on other agencies networks.

Rich DeLong: Are we also switching from a microwave connection to a T1 line?

Mike Visser: Yes, so the building is already equipped with fiber optic so we'll be moving off the microwave so that should help with some of our Zoom issues we're having in the office.

B. Fluid Minerals Activity Update

Lowell Price went over a PowerPoint presentation regarding an update on oil, geothermal, and dissolved mineral resources exploration permitting, production and well inspections in Fiscal Year 2020, a review on current activities and an update on the NAC 522 rulemaking status.

Rich DeLong: Are we moving the hearing to the mid-January meeting?

Mike Visser: No, I would prefer to do a meeting, if we can, in October. We can do that virtually, we just need a quorum. We could schedule the workshop and post notice for that and the hearing. The hearing requires a 30 day notice so we

could notice those at the same time with the workshop in early October and the hearing in the end of October or early November.

Rich DeLong: What's the lead time on the workshop, it is 10 days?

Mike Visher: 15 days. Regardless of when it's approved at the CMR hearing we have to wait 35 days from that date before it goes to the Secretary of State. Then it's effective unless it's stated as earlier in the temporary regulations it expires November 1 of the following year, so close to a year. If the production was similar to 2019, it would be about \$26,000 savings to the operators, but it will likely be less than that due to the production decreases we're currently seeing. It will certainly still be appreciated.

C. AML Program Update

Sean Derby and Rob Ghiglieri gave a PowerPoint presentation including Intern Statistics, AML contracts, Annual AML Mail Out, and Software Developments.

Mike Visher: One question for Sean, do you have a general idea the number of the notifications that came back as undeliverable?

Sean Derby: There are about 35 that came back.

Mike Visher: I bring it up because it's one of the challenges we've always had in notifying claimants, the records are what they are and what's available at the BLM or the County, they could be up to a year old. A lot of junior companies and entities change their addresses every six months or less. It's a cost incurred by the program and requires an additional amount of research which is not something that can be automated. There's always going to be that 5, 10 or 15% that were constantly trying to track down. We've had some discussions about: do we continue to try and find these and research them or if they're higher priorities just go to secure them as proactive securings? And follow up with a notification down the road. It's a cost-benefit analysis thing.

Sean Derby: Just under 10% at this point.

Randy Griffin: Mike, regarding AML, when I first joined the Nevada Mining Association (NvMA) they used to announce a program in the spring, we had people like Cashman Equipment and Lhoist, who used to do it although I don't think they'll do it anymore, where they would donate peoples' time such as dozers and operators or something like that with equipment, they could close mines, is that still going on now with you guys?

Mike Visher: I think the question you're asking is do you still have the opportunity to help out with closures. The NvMA used to do that, it was quite a while ago, where the NvMA would pick up the tab for fuel for the dozer, the dozer was donated by Cashman Equipment, a transport company provided transport free of charge, a mining operation donated the dozer operator, and we'd work as a team performing hard closure backfills on public land that BLM authorized. That fell away with the concern about inheriting some of the liability and some of the members of the Association, as well as its President at that time, did not want to increase any liability to the Association by being part of that program. It would be nice if we could get back doing something like that because it was really beneficial, and a good project that showed what could be accomplished with multiple agencies and multiple industry partners. We've just got to get to that comfort level with regards to Good Samaritan. How we can get there, I'm not quite sure. I welcome the opportunity to have a discussion to further that along.

Randy Griffin: Just about everyone has turned over at the NvMA except for Mary Kay Cashman and myself. I'm going to be, as you know, leaving pretty shortly. It might be good if you touch base with Tyre Gray and see if he would bring it up in a meeting to see if anyone is interested in this. Like Nevada Gold Mines or some of the bigger companies up there, I can't believe you can't get anyone to donate a dozer or an operator or both for a few trips out to somewhere.

Mike Visher: I think that's a good idea especially when, for some of these projects, the cost is getting the equipment out to the site if we could use a nearby mining operation that would offer their equipment would be awesome. It would cut down on some of the costs and demonstrate environmental stewardship, helping in their neighborhood, working on projects that benefit the community. I think there is a story that could be told and we can reach out and see if there's a capacity or interest within the Association to move forward with that again.

Randy Griffin: One other thing, not just the Association, Steve Cochran with Cyanco, I think he's still the Vendor Committee Chair, there's a lot of companies there, 250 or 300 vendors, they're not directly involved with mining, trucking companies and equipment companies and things like that, kind of corner him and see if those guys would be willing to help out a little bit with it, just a couple of ideas there.

Mike Visher: Thanks Randy.

D. Las Vegas Natural History Museum Exhibit Update

Garrett Wake provided a PowerPoint presentation showing a progress report on the exhibit since the last CMR meeting which included Design & Fabrication, and production timelines. The exhibit should be finished and delivered to the museum by the end of December 2020.

Art Henderson: Is the museum open?

Garrett Wake: Yes, they are open.

COMMISSION BUSINESS

Mike Visher stated the staff reports are included in the Commission binders for review.

A. Review of staff monthly activity reports

Mike Visher stated he provides an Executive Summary to the Commission but the staff prepares monthly reports and only some of the information is included in the executive summaries. He is trying to provide a better representation of what the staff actually does month by month so the Commission has the opportunity to review them and see what everyone's doing, maybe there'll be something that piques the Commission's interest that is not part of the executive summary, they'll have the opportunity to ask questions of the staff or Mike.

Rich DeLong: The idea is to keep doing this on a continuing basis?

Mike Visher: Yes.

Art Henderson: Mike, this is all public record, correct?

Mike Visher: Yes, it's already sent out to our distribution list, and includes anyone who has expressed interested, gets it by email, including the Governor's Office. I felt a little remiss in not providing it to the Commission, I try to get the executive summary down to a few pages rather than a long summary.

Art Henderson: Maybe it's better to add the Commission to the distribution list and not distribute this so widely, but I don't know and I am asking you that question.

Mike Visher: It shows the work staff does on a daily or weekly basis that doesn't necessarily manifest at the Commission meeting; it's a chance for the Commission to see how busy they are..

Art Henderson: It's just so much information.

Mike Visher: It is but this is how much gets done, we have a very productive group and I want you to see that. You can choose to look at it or not but that's for you guys to decide.

Art Henderson: I think there's more communication to the Commissioners now, I think it's good and I like it.

B. Next Commission Meeting will be Thursday, January 14, 2021 with the location to be determined.

COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC

Rich DeLong: The UNR Board of Regents voting today and Brian Sandoval is the new UNR president.

ADJOURNMENT

3:44pm